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WHY BILATERAL GOVERNANCE?

Multilateral is no longer viable (Kramarz and Park, 2016)

Resurgence of bilateral diplomacy (Rana, 2007:8)

Dualistic view of transnational climate governance (Hickmann, 2016)
Nature of Bilateral Climate Governance in Indonesia

Indonesian central role in climate change mitigation

Commitment of Indonesian government on climate change mitigation up to 29% and 41% with donor help by 2030

Multi-sector / no state centric

1990s - civil society was foregrounded (post Cold War era, democratic transition in Indonesia and aid distribution in 1997, and global civil society movement in 1992)
Timeline of Bilateral Climate Change Partnership

1997-2007: ODA

2007-2009: ICCTF - CIF

Australian Aid, KEHATI

UN-REDD Programme

2009-2016:
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CHALLENGES OF BILATERAL CLIMATE PARTNERSHIP

- Ineffective MEAs
- Lack of local leadership
- Corruption and lack of governance
- Civil society engagement
- Inappropriate funding virtue

(Law No 14/2008; Australia-Indonesia Partnership, 2009; Angelsen, 2013; Gregorio et al., 2014; Cameron & Lockwood, 2012; Greenpeace, 2012)
RESEARCH QUESTIONS

“To what extent are bilateral climate partnerships effective in Indonesia?”

Why does bilateral climate change aid remain complex in Indonesia?
Conceptual Framework

Climate Governance

Civil Society Governance
(Laville, Young, & Eynaud, 2015; Stone and Ostrower, 2007; Speckbacher, 2008)

Civic Engagement
(UN, 2007; Richardson, 2003; Sears, 1990; Thindwa, Monico & Reuben, 2003)

Civic Engagement
(UN, 2007; Richardson, 2003; Sears, 1990; Thindwa, Monico & Reuben, 2003)
Fieldwork in Central Kalimantan

(Betsil and Corell, 2001)

Descriptive and comparative analysis
(Lijphart, 1971; Bryman, 2008)
Findings and Discussions
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Notes:
- flexible flow, dynamic relationship
- Accommodative but potentially press or supportive groups
- Strong, transparent, and firm
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