

Australia's economic diplomacy: is this good development?

by Julia Newton-Howes

18 August 2014



Australia's **economic diplomacy** provides an overarching narrative for the government's foreign, trade and aid policies and approaches. By promoting the four pillars of international trade, economic growth, investment and the interests of the private sector, the government anticipates greater prosperity for Australia and the world.

The economic diplomacy policy articulates an appealing vision of Australia effectively leveraging resources and know-how through these four pillars for mutual benefit within our region and beyond. There is nothing to disagree with in the aspirations in the policy document. It is useful to see the Australian Government's efforts in international affairs given this coherence, particularly in the year Australia chairs the G20 and has a seat of the UN Security Council.

The government's shift in aid policy suggests an impatience for the world to move 'beyond aid'. The MDGs were successful in creating significant impetus to reduce poverty. But as the time frame for the MDGs draws to a close and the world comes to grips with the huge shifts in economic power that have taken place over the last two decades, there is a strong sense that we need a different agenda.

It is an unfortunate fact that it is too early to declare victory against poverty. Estimates suggest that some 1 billion people will still live in extreme poverty in 2015 and around 2.4 billion people on less than US \$2 a day, the average poverty line in developing countries and another common measurement of deep deprivation.

While Official Development Assistance remains a powerful tool for combating poverty, the idea that a broad range of rich-country policies impact on poverty is not new. The 2002 **Monterrey Consensus** recognised the important impact the international monetary, financial and trading systems all have on poverty. The Center for Global Development has, for over a decade, published a "**Commitment to Development Index**" which ranks all OECD countries on aid, trade, investment and

financial transparency, migration, environment and promotion of international security, acknowledging that wealthy countries' policies across all these areas impact on development and that aid alone will not overcome poverty.

The Government's economic diplomacy agenda suggests that there is a general synergy between Australia's prosperity and prosperity and poverty reduction within the region. What is the evidence that this approach is likely to be successful for poverty reduction?

Does trade reduce poverty?

It is generally accepted that in the long run, open economies fare better in aggregate and this is beneficial for development. However, welfare gains can be unevenly distributed, meaning that some segments of society may be left behind. In addition, in the short run, openness can harm some actors in the economy, and the poorest are most vulnerable (see for example [Santos-Paulino, 2012 \[pdf\]](#)).

A recent World Bank Policy Research Working Paper ([Le Goff and Singh, 2013 \[pdf\]](#)) reviews the empirical evidence for the impact of trade on poverty across 30 African countries between 1981 and 2010. This paper suggests that trade does tend to reduce poverty, but only where financial sectors are deep, education levels are high and governance strong. The benefits of a more open trade regime do not automatically accrue across societies and for beneficial impacts on poverty other policies and investments are necessary, such as governance, health and education.

Does economic growth reduce poverty?

Likewise, it is generally acknowledged that economic growth is necessary for developing countries to reduce poverty. However, the same rate of economic growth can have very different impacts on poverty under different conditions. The key challenge for those committing to poverty reduction is to promote policies that stimulate growth while also adopting policies and programs that enable the poor to participate in the benefits flowing from that growth. For example, in Papua New Guinea, indicators of poverty such as access to clean water have changed little over a decade of strong economic growth and PNG does not appear to be on track to meet any of the Millennium Development Goals.

For economic growth to reduce poverty, there must also be a strong focus on environmental sustainability – something this document is silent on. Our region is highly vulnerable to climate change and natural disasters. According to the UN, natural disasters have affected 4.4 billion people and caused \$US 2 trillion in economic losses over the past two decades. The economic cost of disasters is only likely to increase, with a growing share of the world's population and economic

activity concentrated in disaster prone places.

Does international investment reduce poverty?

Foreign direct investment dwarfs aid flows and has the potential to play a far greater role in reducing poverty. However, in many developing countries where property rights are poorly defined and implemented, major investments in infrastructure, agriculture or mining can lead to displacement of local populations without appropriate compensation, worsening poverty. Organisations like the World Bank and Asian Development Bank have adopted strong policies around displacement and resettlement to ensure activities they fund do not adversely affect local populations.

But these policies are not always followed. A [project](#) to rehabilitate Cambodia's railways, jointly funded by Australian aid and the ADB, was found (by the ADB's Compliance Review Panel) to have led to impoverishment of resettled people. The [recent case](#) of the ANZ Bank's funding of a sugar plantation in Cambodia was another example of an Australian investment having awful consequences for poor people.

Illicit financial flows **out** of developing countries in many cases outweigh flows from FDI and aid. According to [Transparency International](#), over the last decade developing countries have lost around US\$5.9 trillion in illicit outflows. Measures to address money laundering, tax evasion, bribery and base erosion are critical if international investment is to stimulate lasting and inclusive economic growth and poverty reduction.

Does the private sector reduce poverty?

The growth of labour intensive industries in Asia has increased prosperity for millions who have been able to leave rural areas with few prospects and become a first generation of wage earners. However, CARE's work with young women in urban areas across the Mekong has highlighted some of the vulnerabilities they face. Contracts are often short term, labour laws are lax or not implemented and there may be little attention to occupational health and safety. Unfortunately there are fairly regular exposes in the media about Australian goods being manufactured using child labour or other abusive practices.

The vast majority of the world's poor operate within the informal sector and much more could be done to help reduce the costs of doing business for small and micro entrepreneurs and promote formalisation as driver of poverty reduction. In fact, micro, small and medium enterprises are fundamental drivers of economic growth, innovation and economic resilience in developing countries, accounting for between

70% and 95% of employment.

Conclusions

The new economic diplomacy approach calls for aid, trade and foreign policy to work together to drive positive outcomes for Australia and the world. From the perspective of aid and development, there are some things I would like to see more clearly articulated:

- A definition of 'prosperity': the World Bank has adopted an objective of '**shared prosperity**' and measures this through the income growth achieved by the bottom 40 per cent of the income distribution in the population. Australia's policies would be strengthened by a similar commitment.
- Ensuring that aid investments to support international trade target areas that will have the greatest impact on poverty.
- A recognition that the local circumstances of poverty and exclusion may prevent the poor from benefiting from economic growth. The areas identified for aid investments within the strategy (education, health, women's empowerment, improved financial regulation etc) are some of the most critical areas to ensure broad-based benefits. However, it is not a trivial matter to coordinate what are necessarily long term investments in these areas with the shorter timeframes of trade and investment. Without a strong commitment, it is likely the emphasis will be on getting the deal done and not on getting development outcomes.
- Strong support for ethical investment frameworks, decent conditions of employment and transparency will help to ensure that Australian businesses operating in developing countries do create shared prosperity.
- A recognition that Australia can play a strong role through its membership of the G20, the IMF and the World Bank, to address money laundering, tax evasion, bribery and base erosion, and through a strong commitment to prosecute Australians involved in illegal practices, to assist developing countries capture the benefits of Foreign Direct Investment.
- Labour mobility from the Pacific Islands to Australia has the potential to create mutual benefits through remittances and economic growth and should be considered as part of the economic diplomacy agenda.
- Well-functioning countries have vibrant civil societies that hold governments to account and contribute to national debates on social outcomes from economic gains. Civil society should not be overlooked in Australia's economic diplomacy agenda.

Australia stands to benefit from having prosperous and resilient neighbours that we can trade with, invest with and do business with. Ensuring real development outcomes and reductions in poverty from the economic diplomacy agenda should

be the measure of its success.

Julia Newton-Howes is CEO of CARE Australia. This post is based on her remarks at the launch of the 'Australian Government's Economic Diplomacy Agenda' at the Lowy Institute on August 18.

Author/s:

Julia Newton-Howes

Julia Newton-Howes is the CEO of CARE Australia.

Link:

<https://devpolicy.org/australias-economic-diplomacy-is-this-good-development-20140818-2/>