



## Labor is making big promises for a new Pacific development bank, but many unanswered questions remain

By Matthew Dornan  
31 October 2018

This week, Opposition leader Bill Shorten used a major [foreign policy speech at the Lowy Institute](#) to announce that a future Labor government would establish an infrastructure investment bank for the Pacific islands.

The announcement comes at a time of increased public scrutiny of Australian aid to the Pacific, driven by [concerns](#) over China's presence in the region. Many have argued that Australia's "[benign neglect](#)" of the region has led Pacific island governments to seek more assistance from China.

Nowhere is this more evident than in the case of [infrastructure](#) provision. China is said to have a comparative advantage over Australia in infrastructure lending in the region, given its own rapid development in recent years. Infrastructure lending is also at the heart of the new China-led [Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank](#), or AIIB.

Australian aid, by comparison, has been criticised for focusing too heavily on governance projects instead of infrastructure investments.

The Vanuatu government, for instance, has [justified](#) the construction of a China-funded wharf and roads, saying:

"No donor was willing [to] help provide assistance on these projects although the economic benefits [are] huge."

### **A shift in Labor policy**

Though not entirely a surprise, Shorten's announcement does represent a change in Labor's approach to aid. In February, Shadow Foreign Minister Penny Wong gave a [speech](#) outlining Labor's future aid policy that focused on traditional Labor priorities of health, education, gender and climate change and made no mention of infrastructure.

Then, in July, Wong gave another speech with a shift in tone, calling for greater [emphasis](#) by Australia on infrastructure in the Pacific.

The Coalition has also responded to these developments, [committing](#) to the construction of underseas internet cables to Solomon Islands and Papua New Guinea in order to head off earlier bids by Chinese companies.

Australia has for many years effectively delegated infrastructure lending to multinational development banks in the region: the World Bank and Asian Development Bank (ADB). Shorten is now flagging that under a Labor government, Australia will itself provide concessional loans on a bilateral basis, though its support for the World Bank and ADB will no doubt continue.

None of this is to suggest that Australia has been completely absent from the infrastructure sector in the past, though its focus has traditionally been stronger in other areas, such as education and disability projects.

Australia has also invested heavily in “soft” infrastructure in the Pacific, or the technical and managerial expertise and institutions needed to manage new infrastructure projects, such as bridges, roads and ports.

Such support is important, even if outcomes are difficult to measure. Without managerial or technical expertise, as well as appropriate institutions, [infrastructure projects often fall into disrepair](#). A stark example is this tragic [account](#) of the decline of a village in Papua New Guinea following the deterioration of its airstrip.

## **A new reliance on concessional loans**

Australian aid has been used on occasion for infrastructure projects in the Pacific, mainly through grants to complement World Bank and ADB loans. But Australia has not been in the business of providing its own loans for infrastructure development. That much is clear. And in this respect, Shorten’s announcement is significant.

If Australia is determined to move more firmly into the infrastructure game to counter Chinese lending in the region, it [makes sense](#) to fund such projects with loans.

Grants are ill-suited for infrastructure projects for which there is a strong commercial case (such as the [Solomon Islands internet cable](#)). They distort market incentives, and divert scarce aid resources away from other priorities.

There are clearly potential benefits for the region from a new infrastructure bank. There is an enormous infrastructure deficit in the Pacific, one which is especially acute in remote

rural areas.

There is also considerable [evidence](#) that points to the importance of infrastructure for economic development and poverty alleviation. China Exim Bank loans, though concessional, are generally not great value for money, with higher [interest rates](#) and shorter repayment periods than are offered by other lenders, including presumably any new Australian infrastructure bank.

## **A large number of unanswered questions**

Having said that, we should put the hubris in Shorten's speech to one side. It makes no sense to aspire, as he said, for Australia to become the Pacific's "partner of choice" for infrastructure projects. We can only finance a small fraction of the region's needs, and Pacific nations will have good reason to look to other infrastructure providers, such as China. We're not that special.

Turning from rhetoric to policy, more detail is needed before we can determine conclusively whether or not the announcement would be positive for the region. Shorten's speech only indicated that Labor would:

"...actively facilitate concessional loans and financing for investment in these vital, nation-building projects through a government-backed infrastructure investment bank."

That leaves a lot of questions unanswered.

Should the new bank lend to governments, or the private sector, or both? To what extent will projects be selected on the basis of rigorous benefit cost analysis? How will "bankable" projects be identified? Will loans be available to all Pacific island countries, including those that are in debt distress? Will concessional loans come at the expense of existing aid priorities, including Australian funding for "soft" infrastructure?

The answers to such questions are important. After all, it is Australia's overall approach towards infrastructure that will ultimately drive long-term impacts.

*This article was first published on [The Conversation](#).*

## **About the author/s**

### **Matthew Dornan**

Matthew Dornan was formerly Deputy Director at the Development Policy Centre and is currently a senior economist at the World Bank.

Link:

<https://devpolicy.org/labor-is-making-big-promises-for-a-new-pacific-development-bank-but-many-unanswered-questions-remain-20181031/>

Date downloaded: 26 April 2024



Australian  
National  
University

The Devpolicy Blog is based at the Development Policy Centre, Crawford School of Public Policy, College of Asia and the Pacific, Australian National University.