


MIGRATION SEEMS TO BE SHIFTING GENDER NORMS IN TONGA

DEVPOLICY BLOG 13 JANUARY 2023, SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL


1 Additional descriptive figures

FIGURE A1: RESPONSES FROM MALE HOUSEHOLD HEADS




Please refer to the Devpolicy Blog on Friday 13 January for the complete question wording. The rows correspond to 1–5 in that blog, and in Figure 1 of that blog.

FIGURE A2: RESPONSES FROM FEMALE HOUSEHOLD HEADS




2 Main results using binary outcomes

FIGURE A3: EFFECTS ON DISAGREEING WITH STATEMENTS, ALL HOUSEHOLDS



These figures report estimated effects (dots are point estimates, and blue lines 95 percent confidence intervals) of scheme participation on a binary indicator equal to one if the respondent “strongly disagrees” or “disagrees” (Figure A3) with each respective statement, or if the respondent “strongly agrees” or “agrees” (Figure A4). Comparisons are between migrant and non-migrant households after adjusting (specifically, matching based on propensity scores) for various household and individual characteristics summarised in the blog text. Figures A5 and A6 return to the scale outcome described in the blog text.

FIGURE A4: EFFECTS ON AGREEING WITH STATEMENTS, ALL HOUSEHOLDS



3 Main results by scheme

FIGURE A5: EFFECTS FOR ONLY SWP PARTICIPANT HOUSEHOLDS, SCALE OUTCOME





FIGURE A5: EFFECTS FOR ONLY RSE PARTICIPANT HOUSEHOLDS, SCALE OUTCOME



Effects for only PLS participants; PLS sample is too small for results to be meaningful (see table below).

4 PLMS survey coverage by scheme and gender

	Households	HH w/ female participant	
SWP	323	80	0.25
RSE	176	35	0.2
PLS	44	17	0.39
Migrant	543	132	0.24
Non-migrant	617		
Total households	1160		