What is the nature of the PNG kina exchange rate regime? It is described as floating by the Bank of Papua New Guinea, and as de facto peg by the IMF. They can’t both be right, but they could both be wrong. A better description of the kina exchange rate regime would be stuck. The figure below shows the daily value of the kina in terms of US dollars over the last couple of years on the interbank market, which is the market in Port Moresby through which the USD/kina exchange rate is set. All other exchange rates are set in relation to the USD/kina one.

What you see is not a floating exchange rate. A floating exchange rate would move up and down with the tides of economic activity and sentiment. In PNG, there has been just one change in the exchange rate since mid-November 2020.
However, it is not a pegged exchange rate either, since the government doesn’t actually set the exchange rate. It is set within the interbank market, and BPNG acts only as a price taker in that market.

Rather than being either floating or pegged, the exchange rate is actually stuck. You can see from the next graph how this problem has become worse over time. The frequency with which the kina changes value in the interbank market has fallen markedly over the last decade or so. It used to change value almost 100 times a year, then about 50, then around 10; now it can go for a whole year without changing.

![Figure 2: Number of times the interbank market USD per Kina exchange rate has changed in a year since 2008](chart)

Why is the kina stuck? The six authorised foreign exchange dealers (ANZ, BSP, Kina, Moni Plus, First Investment Finance, and Westpac) who participate in the foreign exchange market can bid any price they want for dollars. Why don’t they change the price more often?

Like many things, it comes down to incentives, and there is now no incentive for dealers to offer more kina for a dollar. This is for two reasons. First, all the dealers now rely on BPNG to sell foreign exchange into the interbank market. You’d think BPNG would sell more dollars to dealers who were willing to pay more for them. But no. BPNG’s allocation criteria are purely administrative: they give more to the dealers with a bigger backlog of foreign exchange orders. Why offer to pay more for something if it is not going to help you get it? Indeed, dealers seem to be more worried that BPNG will penalise them if they push the value of the kina down.
Second, with the exchange rate trading bands introduced in 2014, dealers are not allowed to pay more for foreign exchange outside the interbank market (e.g., from coffee exporters) unless they pay the same higher price inside the interbank market. But, for the reason just given, they see no reason to offer to pay more in the interbank market. In the past, higher prices for dollars outside the interbank market could send a signal to that market that the price was out of alignment. That signal is now missing.

The exchange rate is therefore increasingly stuck. This has suited BPNG, which has responsibility for the kina’s value. On the one hand, it has been able to say that it does not set the exchange rate. On the other, if the exchange rate is stuck, it does not have to worry about exchange rate depreciation, which boosts inflation.

Now, however, with last year’s amendments to the Central Banking Act, BPNG has broader objectives and needs to consider the growth as well as the inflationary impacts of its policies. If the exchange rate is stuck, it cannot respond to the signals the economy is sending, and it cannot help the economy adjust by matching the supply of and demand for foreign exchange. The overvaluation of the kina and the associated rationing of foreign exchange has been a – if not the – main cause of PNG’s slow growth over the last decade.

Clearly something needs to be done, both to end the confusion and to stimulate the economy. There are various reforms that would un-stick the exchange rate. The first would be to allow new authorised dealers into the interbank market. For example, if a coffee exporter was allowed into the interbank market, it would have the incentive to ask for more kina for its dollars. A second would be for BPNG to move away from its anachronistic administrative allocation of foreign exchange, and instead offer its reserves to the highest bidder. A third would be for BPNG to simply ask a higher price for its dollar reserves, with the aim of matching demand and supply.

I recommend the third measure because it could be implemented the most quickly, and also because it would prevent runaway depreciation which, given the pent-up demand for foreign exchange, could happen under the other two options.

However it does it though, BPNG needs to reform the interbank market to un-stick the kina.

This blog draws on material presented in the Phase One report of the Central Banking Act Independent Advisory Group, of which the author is a member. However, the views expressed are those of the author alone.
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