



## Political instability in PNG: it's not just about the top job

By Henry Ivarature  
13 July 2022


“There must be political stability to bring development”, [argued Paias Wingti](#), Governor of Western Highlands Province and former prime minister of Papua New Guinea, in September 2021.

Wingtí believes impact projects can only be delivered if governments serve an uninterrupted term in parliament. He blames mid-term changes of government for preventing development taking place. The governments of the late Sir Michael Somare and Peter O'Neill “delivered impact projects right around the country” because they “survived a full-term”.

The benefits of stability can be debated. But what is often overlooked is that, even if a government survives a full (five-year) term, it might not be stable. Ministerial stability and longevity are important in creating a stable policy environment.

My recent *Asia & the Pacific Policy Studies* [article](#) introduces a [dataset](#) that examines ministerial duration and stability in Papua New Guinea. The dataset covers 321 ministers and 15 governments from 1972 to 2017. I use information on ministerial duration to calculate the average duration of all ministers during a government's tenure (that is, under a single PM), and the average ministerial duration in a given portfolio during that government (Figure 1). The average duration of ministers for all 15 governments is 25.2 months. This is about half the term of parliament. The average ministerial duration per portfolio for all governments is 12.3 months.

**Figure 1: Average ministerial durations and average ministerial durations per portfolio, 1972–2017**




Created with Datawrapper

I also use these averages to construct two stability indicators to measure ministerial stability under each prime minister (Figure 2). Stability Indicator 1 divides the average durations of all ministers in each government (including prime ministers) by the tenure of the prime minister to measure ministerial stability. Stability Indicator 2 divides the average ministerial duration in a portfolio by the length of the prime minister's tenure to measure

portfolio ministerial stability. Both stability indicators range between zero and one, with zero indicating low ministerial stability and one indicating high ministerial stability.

**Figure 2: Ministerial stability in a government (Stability Indicator 1) and ministerial stability per portfolio (Stability Indicator 2) for PNG governments, 1972–2017**



Stability Indicator 1 ranges from 0.55 to 0.97 with an average of 0.72. Three governments that experienced exceptionally high ministerial stability were those under the prime

ministerships of Wingti (0.97, third parliament and 0.91, fifth parliament) and O'Neill (0.97, eighth parliament). In these governments, ministers were only rarely removed from their roles during the term of the government, and the average minister lasted for almost as long as the PM. The governments that measured the lowest on this indicator were those of Morauta (sixth parliament) at 0.59 and Somare (seventh parliament) at 0.55. In these governments, the average minister only lasted for a little more than half as long as the PM.

The average for Stability Indicator 2 is 0.39. O'Neill's government in the eighth parliament had the highest score on this indicator at 0.65. Ministers changed portfolios comparatively rarely during the lifespan of this government. The governments of Morauta (sixth parliament) and Somare (seventh parliament) scored the lowest with averages of 0.20 and 0.23, respectively. This means that during the time of these PMs, there were on average four or five ministers for each portfolio.

Although both Somare and O'Neill enjoyed full terms in office, ministerial stability varied significantly between them. O'Neill's 2012-17 government experienced high stability on Indicator 1 but low stability on Indicator 2. Somare's government, on the other hand, experienced low stability on both indicators, despite the Organic Law on the Integrity of Political Parties and Candidates being operational during his tenure. (The law was meant to promote stability, and was subsequently in large part overturned by the courts.) In other words, Somare kept changing his ministers, and O'Neill retained the same ministers but kept reshuffling their portfolios.

My article provides further explanation for the variation in ministerial duration and stability seen across governments. Looking at the post-independence period as a whole, while there is no clear evidence of ministerial stability either improving or worsening, on average ministerial stability is low and portfolio ministerial stability very low.

For prime ministerial stability to be a benefit in the way that Wingti suggests, then not just prime ministerial but ministerial tenures need to be increased. There should be less reshuffling of ministers, and less reshuffling of portfolios. Without that, policy continuity and policy coherence, and indeed political stability itself, are likely to remain elusive.

*This article is published in partnership with [Policy Forum](#). It is based on an article in the [Asia & the Pacific Policy Studies](#) journal, '[The hidden dimension to political instability: Insights from ministerial durations in Papua New Guinea from 1972 to 2017](#)', by Henry Ivarature. The [database](#) on which the article is based is also available. All articles in the journal are free to read and download.*

## Disclosure

*The Australia Pacific Security College (APSC) is an educational institution funded through the Australian Government aid program. The views expressed in this blog are those of the author only.*

## About the author/s

### Henry Ivarature

Henry Ivarature is the Deputy Director at the Australia Pacific Security College, Crawford School of Public Policy, The Australian National University.

Link: <https://devpolicy.org/political-instability-in-png-its-not-just-about-the-top-job-20220713/>

Date downloaded: 26 April 2024



Australian  
National  
University

The Devpolicy Blog is based at the Development Policy Centre, Crawford School of Public Policy, College of Asia and the Pacific, Australian National University.