

How can the Global Fund get more health for its money?

By Ashlee Betteridge
23 September 2013

A new report from the Centre for Global Development's Working Group on Value for Money in Global Health was released last week, titled '[More Health for the Money: putting incentives to work for the Global Fund and its partners](#)'.

The report provides suggestions for improvement in four key areas of the Global Fund's grant-making cycle: allocation, contracts, costs and spending, and verification.

The report argues that instead of responding to countries' requests for support for programs, which may be sub-optimal, the Global Fund should instead present countries with a menu of cost effective options for them to choose from. It also says the Fund should revise its contracting process and link funding to progress towards end-goals, that it should track the unit-cost of services delivered, and more rigorously measure the impact of its partners' programs.

They've made a great video to explain the report findings, using the example of bed nets to show how these changes could help the Global Fund improve value for money.

About the author/s

Ashlee Betteridge

Ashlee Betteridge was the Manager of the Development Policy Centre until April 2021. She was previously a Research Officer at the centre from 2013-2017. A former journalist, she holds a Master of Public Policy (Development Policy) from ANU and has development experience in Indonesia and Timor-Leste. She now works as a development consultant.

Link: <https://devpolicy.org/how-can-the-global-fund-get-more-health-for-its-money-20130923-1/>

Date downloaded: 4 October 2022



Australian
National
University

The Devpolicy Blog is based at the Development Policy Centre, Crawford School of Public Policy, College of Asia and the Pacific, Australian National University.