



The 'Indo-Pacific' and Australia's aid program

By Cameron Hill
25 March 2022

References to the 'Indo-Pacific' are ubiquitous in contemporary policy statements on Australia's international relations, including when it comes to the development program. According to the government's current aid strategy, [Partnerships for recovery](#), "Australia will stand with our partners in the Indo-Pacific as we attempt to minimise the human, economic and social costs of this pandemic, and chart a course to economic recovery." The Labor opposition has also [embraced](#) the concept, which stands in contrast to the bipartisan emphasis on the 'Asia-Pacific' throughout the 1990s and 2000s.


How has this important shift in geographic frame been reflected in Australia's aid program and budget allocations? One of the immediate difficulties in answering this question is that there is no standard cartographic definition of what constitutes the Indo-Pacific. Indeed, [according](#) to one proponent, "the margins will shift according to the issue at hand." Notwithstanding this flexibility, the government's [2017 Foreign Policy White Paper](#) does offer a useful, rule-of-thumb definition of the Indo-Pacific construct: "the region ranging from the eastern Indian Ocean to the Pacific Ocean connected by Southeast Asia, including India, North Asia and the United States."

In terms of how Australia's official development assistance (ODA) allocations are structured, this definition would suggest the Indo-Pacific comprises three principal regions – PNG and the Pacific; East/Southeast Asia; and South, West and Other Asia. Unsurprisingly, these three regions have, combined, consistently made up the majority of Australia's aid over the last 20 years – accounting for 62% of total aid in 2001-02, 56% in 2006-07 (the last full year of the Howard Government), 59% in 2012-13 (the last full year of the Rudd/Gillard/Rudd Government) and an estimated 70% in 2021-22.

However, within the 'Indo-Pacific' category there has been some change, particularly when it comes to Australia's aid to South, West and Other Asia. Under Labor, the share of ODA to this group of countries rose significantly, increasing from around 5% of total aid in 2006-07,

to 11% by 2012-13. But in 2021-22, it is expected to fall back to around 6% of total aid, excluding flows through humanitarian and global programs.

Figure 1: Bilateral, regional and global programs as a share of total ODA, 2001-02 to 2021-22 (inflation adjusted, %)




Sources: DFAT Official Development Assistance: Statistical Summaries for 2001-02, 2006-07 and 2012-13; DFAT Aid Budget Summary, 2021-22; DFAT Portfolio Additional Estimates Statement 2021-22. Estimates for 2021-22 include Temporary and Targeted Supplementary (TTS) measures that have been assigned to relevant regions. Since 2019-20, DFAT has also attributed ODA eligible departmental costs to geographic regions, in the same proportion as the amount of total ODA expended in those regions.

Created with Datawrapper

The change reflects the fact that, in the wake of successive cuts to the aid budget over much of the last decade, the large increase in the share of total aid provided to the Pacific has not just come at the expense of Africa and the Middle East and global programs. It has also, partly, come at the expense of other parts of what might reasonably be considered the ‘Indo-Pacific’, including South and West Asia. This includes significant cuts to Australia’s bilateral aid programs to Bangladesh and Sri Lanka, both of which have more than halved in real terms since 2012-13. Australia’s bilateral aid program to Pakistan was ceased 2020-21. And Australia’s assistance to Afghanistan is now, in real terms, around a quarter of what it was a decade ago.

Figure 2: Bilateral aid to South and West Asia*, 2001-02 to 2021-22 (inflation adjusted, '000s)




Sources: DFAT Official Development Assistance: Statistical Summaries; DFAT Aid Budget Summaries from 2017-18 onwards. *Australia also maintains small bilateral aid programs to the Maldives and Bhutan. Australia's small bilateral aid program to India was ceased in 2011-12.
Created with Datawrapper

Despite high levels of poverty that have been [exacerbated](#) by COVID-19, South and West Asia has not featured in the government's additional, "temporary and targeted" [measures](#) to assist Australia's neighbours during the pandemic. The exception has been the small, one-off package of additional humanitarian assistance for India (\$37.1 million) that was [announced](#) in the May 2021 budget. Nor has the region benefited from Australia's commitment to share 60 million COVID-19 vaccines with the Indo-Pacific by the end of 2022, all of which have been [earmarked](#) for the Pacific and Southeast Asia. Australia's \$100 million contribution to the Quad's (Australia, India, Japan and the US) vaccine-sharing initiative is [focused](#) on Southeast Asia.

In terms of composition, and including aid provided through regional and global programs, almost a third of Australia's \$374 million in total aid to South and West Asia reported in 2020-21 was in the form of humanitarian assistance - principally, Australia's [substantial](#) ongoing support for the hundreds of thousands of displaced Rohingya populations in Bangladesh, and continued humanitarian assistance to Afghanistan.

Figure 3: Australia's ODA, region of benefit by sector group, 2020-21: South and West Asia



Source: DFAT, [Australia's Official Development Assistance: Statistical Summary, 2020-21](#), p. 7

This is the same proportion of humanitarian assistance that Australia provided to Sub-Saharan Africa in 2020-21. In 2012-13, less than 10% of Australia's total aid to South and West Asia was in the form of humanitarian assistance, and the [largest](#) sector (28%) was education. This suggests an important change in the composition of Australia's assistance to this region, away from long-term development engagement and towards humanitarian response and the stabilisation of protracted crises.

In the context of a smaller overall aid budget, it is perhaps unsurprising that Australia's development program still predominantly reflects an Asia-Pacific rather than an Indo-Pacific frame. Indeed, there are good development effectiveness arguments for concentrating Australia's scarce aid resources on our more proximate Pacific and Southeast Asian neighbours. With the [prospect](#) of further, real reductions in total ODA under the Coalition as the temporary and targeted measures cease, and [uncertainty](#) regarding the scale of aid budget increases under Labor, ambitions for a truly 'Indo-Pacific' development program are likely to remain constrained, whichever party wins the upcoming election.

Disclosure

This research was undertaken with the support of The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. The views represent those of the author only.

About the author/s

Cameron Hill

Cameron Hill is Senior Research Officer at the Development Policy Centre. He has previously worked with DFAT, the Parliamentary Library and ACFID.

Link: <https://devpolicy.org/the-indo-pacific-and-australias-aid-program-20220325/>

Date downloaded: 5 May 2024



Australian
National
University

The Devpolicy Blog is based at the Development Policy Centre, Crawford School of Public Policy, College of Asia and the Pacific, Australian National University.