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Over the past three decades, anti-corruption reforms have become a central part of international post-conflict interventions. From Liberia to Iraq, donor-led interventions have increased funding for anti-corruption reforms, to help build legitimacy and good governance in conflict-ravaged countries.

However, some scholars and development practitioners have questioned the sustainability of these efforts, suggesting that as donor ‘leverage’ wanes, it is likely political support for anti-corruption reforms will diminish.

Our recent Asia & the Pacific Policy Studies journal article examines budgetary documents with a focus on financial support for anti-corruption reforms in Solomon Islands from 2010 to 2020, to understand changes to political will during and after the region’s largest peace-building intervention.

The Regional Assistance Mission to Solomon Islands (RAMSI) intervention (2003–2017) was formed in response to ethnic clashes, and drew together a regional force of peacekeepers and development experts to improve Solomon Islands security and governance.

Many scholars and practitioners acknowledge that RAMSI played an important role in easing tensions and keeping the peace. Opinions are split, however, when it comes to assessing RAMSI’s legacy around building the Solomon Islands state and improving governance. While some have offered cautiously positive assessments, others have been more critical. These debates have mostly played out during the RAMSI intervention, which means the analysis has not accounted for post-RAMSI developments. This is where our study comes in.

Anti-corruption reform was a central component of RAMSI’s state-building efforts. RAMSI helped re-establish and support key state-based anti-corruption organisations, providing resources, advisers and infrastructure. We examine how well these reforms have been taken
up by Solomon Islands politicians during and after RAMSI. While there are many
organisations and individuals involved in anti-corruption activity in Solomon Islands –
including those from the private sector and civil society – we focus on state-based anti-
corruption organisations.

We track government allocations and spending for three key anti-corruption organisations:
the Auditor-General, the Leadership Code Commission, and the Office of the Ombudsman.
We also compare funding for these organisations to that for the Royal Solomon Islands
Police Force and the Attorney-General’s Chambers, and, drawing on our previous analysis,
funding to Papua New Guinea’s anti-corruption organisations.

Overall, our research suggests that anti-corruption reform in the post-RAMSI period has
been marked by positive and negative trends.

On the positive side of the ledger, while the post-RAMSI trajectory has not always been
linear, key anti-corruption organisations received similar or greater levels of funding as they
did in the years leading up to RAMSI’s departure.

As a proportion of the recurrent budget, anti-corruption organisations have also seen an
increase to their budgetary allocations, and Solomon Islands is devoting and spending more
of its available budget on its anti-corruption organisations than its closest neighbour, PNG –
even though PNG has more anti-corruption organisations than Solomon Islands.

To add to these findings, we also know that after RAMSI’s departure policymakers passed
legislation to strengthen the Ombudsman, protect whistleblowers, and introduce a new
Independent Commission Against Corruption (ICAC). So, post-RAMSI, policymakers have
not reversed anti-corruption reforms that began during, and were supported by, RAMSI.

The Solomon Islands case shows that, contrary to the fears of some scholars and
practitioners, politicians are willing to support anti-corruption reform and organisations
after peacekeepers have officially left and their influence has reduced. While key
development partners like Australia still provide advice and assistance, we show that their
leverage over the government has reduced in the post-RAMSI period.

On the other hand, our analysis reveals some troubling signs. For a start, over the past
decade, anti-corruption organisations have not always received their promised allocations,
depriving them of millions of dollars.

Second, and most importantly, while politicians have supported anti-corruption reform, little
has been done to address some of the key drivers of corruption. Politicians still use their
constituency development funds to buy votes and supporters. Corruption in the logging
industry is still allegedly rampant.

Finally, international indices suggest the severity of corruption in the country has decreased over the past ten years, but concerns about its impacts remain. Frustration with corruption was a key factor in riots breaking out in Honiara in 2019 and 2021.

These findings suggest a contradiction at the heart of the nation’s anti-corruption efforts: on the one hand, anti-corruption agencies have been financially supported; on the other, some believe opportunities for corruption have grown.

Our analysis provides an early snapshot of political support for anti-corruption organisations in the post-RAMSI era. To really understand the health of Solomon Islands anti-corruption organisations will require researchers to revisit this analysis in the years to come.

In the meantime, we suggest more needs to be done to ensure the government’s anti-corruption allocations materialise, and that the nation’s new anti-corruption watchdog – the Solomon Islands ICAC or SIICAC – is provided with the resources it needs to independently and proactively investigate corruption.
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